

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted IMoot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

THE ROLE OF OMBUDSMAN IN STRENGTHENING GOOD GOVERNANCE: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF ITS IMPACT ON ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS IN INDIA

AUTHORED BY - RAM AWADH

Ph.D. Scholar

School of Law and Jurisprudence

Shri Venkateshwara University, NH-24, Gajraula, Uttar Pradesh

ABSTRACT

Being an advocate for transparency, accountability, and equality in government is one of the most important roles that the Ombudsman plays in ensuring that good governance is maintained. Through the prism of the Ombudsman's influence, this article takes a look at the Lokpal and Lokayukta institutions, which are two of the administrative reforms that India has implemented. The purpose of this article is to investigate the efficacy of these organizations in combatting corruption, inefficiency in government operations, and abuse of power by conducting an analysis of pertinent case studies and legal frameworks. In addition, the study dives into the role that the Ombudsman plays in promoting public trust via the use of complaint resolution procedures, with a particular emphasis on the ways in which this office impacts citizen involvement and ethical leadership. In many cases, these groups are unable to realize their full potential because of issues such as political interference, inadequate money, and limited jurisdiction. Based on the findings of this research, it is argued that the Indian Ombudsman system requires adjustments in order to enhance its autonomy, scope, and effectiveness. We advocate increasing public awareness, ensuring that investigations are conducted impartially, and using technology in order to make grievance redressal procedures more accessible and responsive. Through the strengthening of the Ombudsman's role, India may be able to reform its administrative system in order to make individuals more responsible and reduce the amount of corruption that exists.

Keywords: Ombudsman, good governance, Lokpal, Lokayukta, administrative reforms, accountability, corruption

INTRODUCTION

Through its role as a check and balance on the authority of the government, the Ombudsman in administrative law is a representation of the fundamental principles of democracy. Through their unwavering commitment to justice, they ensure that the rights of citizens are safeguarded, that the rule of law is upheld, and that government entities are held accountable for the actions they take.

The Ombudsman is a position in administrative law that functions as a guardian of individual rights, an advocate for governmental transparency, and a driver of continuing improvement in state operations. Essentially a complaint handling institution, the Ombudsman office helps individuals to resolve their problems they may have with the government. The Ombudsman also helps society at large by promoting administrative reform and drawing attention to what are often systemic problems. The Ombudsman is closely concerned with the correct functioning of administrative machine. His role is to locate 'mal-administration' or faults in administration. The ombudsman has a 'frontier' with the law; in some respects, an 'overlap' but his criteria for judging mal-administration or injustices are not co extensive with those of the law courts. Ombudsman can deal many faces of administrative action that are not concerned with courts. The Ombudsman strengthen the foundation of a society that is just and equitable by the work and devotion that they demonstrate every day.¹

Meaning of Ombudsman in Administrative Law

In the field of administrative law, an Ombudsman is a self-governing official or body that has been designated by the government to oversee and investigate complaints and grievances concerning administrative acts and decisions made by governmental agencies, departments, or public bodies, and recommends corrective action.

In the field of administrative law, the Ombudsman exists to make the bureaucratic world under the authority of the state a better place for ordinary people to conduct their affairs. Since a democratic Government, is a government of the people, by the people and for the people, it has an obligation to satisfy the citizens about its functioning and offer them adequate means for the ventilation and redress of their grievances. The Ombudsman institution in India came in to

¹ Fowlie, F. (2008). A Blueprint for the Evaluation of an Ombudsman's Office: A Case Study of the ICANN Office of the Ombudsman. A thesis for the degree of Doctor of Conflict Resolution, School of Law Faculty of Law and Management, La Trobe University Bundoora, Victoria 3086, Australia.

existence as a result of administrative reform commission's recommendation. The primary responsibility of an Ombudsman is to ensure that governmental administrative procedures adhere to legal and procedural standards, as well as to ensure that they are transparent, equitable, and accountable.²

The term "grief officer" is typically used to refer to this particular individual. The primary responsibility of the Ombudsman is to evaluate complaints lodged by citizens on what they believe to be deficiencies in the performance of government agencies. Locals have the ability to voice their issues to this individual, who works within the government but maintains their independence and impartiality. In essence, if the government is not functioning effectively, locals can communicate their problems to this official.

Importance of Ombudsman in Administrative Law

With regard to the significance of the Ombudsman in administrative law, it is of the utmost importance to acknowledge that this individual is not a super administrator to whom one can appeal solely because of discontent with a decision that was made by a government official. One of the key responsibilities of the Ombudsman is to investigate complaints that are associated with incorrect management or unfair treatment. The Ombudsman institution promotes and protects individual rights, encourages more efficient public administration, provides a cost-effective dispute resolution and bridges the gap between government and the public. Promote cooperation instead of litigation. The Ombudsman not only protects the individual rights; they also contribute to efficient public administration. The Ombudsman is uniquely positioned to identify and address structural problems within public administration. The technical expertise, which is acquired by investigating and analyzing individual complaints, coupled with its record tracking and classification abilities, enables the Ombudsman to identify possible systemic causes of maladministration.

In the framework of its application, the Ombudsman in administrative law can be thought of as a guardian of the operations of the government and a supporter of the common people. The Ombudsman can entertain a complaint from any person other than a public servant. Every citizen who lodges a complaint against what they consider to be misbehavior, inefficiency, or unfairness on the part of the government is subject to an investigation by the Ombudsman. A

² Gottehrer, M. (1998). Ombudsman Legislative Resource Document.

significant amount of jurisdiction is vested in the Ombudsman, which includes access to records held by the government. Those who file complaints are not needed to provide evidence; the Ombudsman is responsible for conducting investigations and providing remedies to persons who have been wronged.³

It is the responsibility of the Ombudsman to ascertain whether or not complaints are legitimate and to respond appropriately to them. Because their authority is not limited in the same way that it is in a civil court, they are able to take action on their own initiative after they have identified a problem.

OBJECTIVES

- To review the Ombudsman (Lokpal and Lokayuktas)'s ability to resolve complaints and provide administrative transparency.
- To examine the challenges and limitations faced by the Ombudsman system in India.

METHOD

This study employs a qualitative methodology via a literature analysis to elucidate the efficacy of the India Ombudsman. Moleong (2008) asserts that "qualitative research methods are a research procedure that yields descriptive data in the form of written or spoken language from individuals and observed behaviors." Qualitative research necessitates direction in the development of substantive theories derived from evidence.

RESULT AN DISCUSSION

Assessing the Effectiveness of the Ombudsman in Addressing Grievances

The Ombudsman system in India, consisting of the Lokpal at the national level and Lokayuktas at the state level, was established to combat corruption and resolve grievances associated with maladministration in public offices. The efficacy of these organizations can be assessed by multiple metrics, such as case resolution rates, accountability enforcement, transparency enhancements, and overall governance impact.

³ Jain, S. N. (1983). India, in Gerald E. Caiden (Ed.). *International Handbook of the Ombudsman: Country Surveys*, Vol. II, United State of America: Greenwood Press, ss.317-320.

Case Resolution and Grievance Redressal

National Level (Lokpal): Since its inception in 2013, the Lokpal has been sluggish in realizing its goals. A primary concern is the protraction of case investigations and the absence of notable high-profile prosecutions. Recent reports indicate that the Lokpal has addressed a limited number of instances relative to the expectations established at the time the law was enacted. Prolonged delays in the recruitment of Lokpal members and logistical challenges in establishing the agency have impeded its capacity to process cases effectively. Notwithstanding the significant public need for a vigorous anti-corruption oversight body, the case resolution rate remains low.⁴

State Level (Lokayuktas): The efficacy of Lokayuktas differs markedly among states. In states such as Karnataka, Maharashtra, and Madhya Pradesh, Lokayuktas have substantially influenced the reduction of corruption by the vigorous pursuit of cases, thorough investigations, and the accountability of public personnel. These states have experienced effective investigations resulting in resignations and sanctions for corrupt officials. Some Lokayuktas have criticized their government for noncooperation and they lack adequate resources as well. In states like Uttar Pradesh and Bihar, Lokayuktas are either inadequately funded or underutilized, resulting in restricted case settlement. In general, although many states have experienced enhancements in governance, others have observed minimal effects from their Lokayuktas.⁵

Promotion of Transparency in Administrative Processes

Fear of Accountability: In states with robust Lokayuktas, like Karnataka, a deterrent effect exists, prompting public officials to exercise greater caution in their conduct due to the potential for investigation. Lokayuktas have uncovered significant corruption cases, enhancing public trust in governmental accountability.

Recommendations and Implementation: The Lokpal and Lokayuktas frequently issue recommendations for reforms or sanctions following their investigations into corruption cases. Nonetheless, a significant problem in fostering transparency is the absence of enforcement authority. The Ombudsman may propose actions but lacks the authority to impose sanctions

⁴ Mathur, R. N. (1963). Need for an Indian Ombudsman for Successful Planning. *The Indian Journal of Political Science*, 24(4): 347-354.

⁵ Jain, S. N. (1983). India, in Gerald E. Caiden (Ed.). *International Handbook of the Ombudsman: Country Surveys*, Vol. II, United State of America: Greenwood Press, ss.317-320.

directly, resulting in occasional disregard for their recommendations by governmental entities. This diminishes the overall efficacy of constantly implementing transparency measures.

Public Access to Information: The Right to Information (RTI) Act, which enhances the Ombudsman system, has been an essential instrument in fostering transparency in India. Citizens utilize the Right to Information (RTI) to unveil corruption and mismanagement, while the Lokpal and Lokayuktas frequently pursue cases derived from RTI revelations. The sluggish response of these entities to RTI-based complaints undermines their capacity to promote a genuinely transparent administrative procedure.⁶

Public Perception and Trust

Public Awareness: In numerous states, public awareness regarding the presence and functions of the Ombudsman system is constrained. Citizens frequently lack knowledge on the complaint filing process, or they perceive it as excessively bureaucratic and protracted. In states with well-established and active Lokayuktas, such as Karnataka, public trust in the institution's capacity to resolve concerns is elevated; however, this trust diminishes in states where Lokayuktas are perceived as ineffective or politically compromised. In these states the institution has failed to inspire the public confidence in its efficacy due to which the number of complaints are on the decline with the wide spread cases of corruption, sprawling maladministration, and growing apathy of the administration toward public grievances.

Impact on Public Accountability: Traditional public accountability mechanism deemed inadequate to ensure the implementation of accountability itself. The application of the principle of good governance was deemed necessary to realize this ideal of accountability through the ombudsman institution. Over time, the Lokpal and Lokayuktas have achieved gradual advancements in enhancing accountability, especially in states where they operate free from political interference.

Challenges and limitations faced by the Ombudsman system in India

1. **Exclusion of Key Functionaries:** A significant shortcoming of the Ombudsman system is its confined jurisdiction. The Lokpal's jurisdiction excludes lower-level government officials, who often interact with the public and are more susceptible to

⁶ Pohekar, P. D. (2010). A Study of Ombudsman System in India with Special Reference to Lokayukta in Maharashtra. India: Gyan Publishing House.

corruption. A significant number of these officials operate within local government entities or agencies that engage directly with citizens, hence constraining the Lokpal's capacity to tackle grassroots-level corruption.

2. **Limited Coverage of Lokayuktas:** Likewise, the Lokayuktas in numerous states possess constrained jurisdiction, frequently limited to senior officials or particular agencies. Certain states have not broadened the Lokayuktas' authority to encompass all public officials or governmental entities, hence diminishing their capacity to address a comprehensive array of issues.
3. **Advisory Role Only:** The Lokpal and Lokayuktas may suggest actions following their investigations; but, they lack the authority to prosecute or impose sanctions on corrupt officials. Following investigations and the proposal of reforms or fines, the ultimate execution is dependent on other governmental entities or the judiciary, frequently resulting in delays or inaction.
4. **Reliance on Other Agencies:** The Lokpal must rely on the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) or other investigating agencies to implement its recommendations. This dependence undermines the Ombudsman's ability to enforce accountability, as these authorities may be overwhelmed or influenced by political pressures. This also results in delays in case resolution and diminishes the deterrent efficacy of the Ombudsman system.
5. **Politicized Appointments:** The appointment procedure for the Lokpal and Lokayuktas has frequently been hindered by political delays and disputes. The appointment of the Lokpal chairperson and members at the national level has been postponed for years owing to political disputes. Despite its establishment in 2013, the Lokpal required several years to become operational. This politicization compromises the system's autonomy and increases apprehensions over its neutrality.⁷
6. **Political Influence over Functioning:** In several states, Lokayuktas have encountered pressure from governing political parties to refrain from probing sensitive matters, particularly those involving influential politicians or bureaucrats. This political influence undermines the Lokayuktas' capacity to operate impartially and diminishes their authority.
7. **Inadequate Funding and Staffing:** A major constraint encountered by the Lokpal and Lokayuktas is the insufficiency of resources. Both organizations frequently experience

⁷ Rai, H. and Singh, S. (1976). Ombudsman in India: A Need for Administrative Integrity and Responsiveness. *The Indian Journal of Political Science*, 37(3): 43-63.

insufficient funding, which constrains their ability to employ proficient professionals, including investigators, legal specialists, and administrative staff. The Ombudsman system is hindered in its ability to investigate complaints efficiently or in a timely manner due to inadequate resources.

8. **Lengthy Investigation Processes:** Investigations conducted by the Lokpal and Lokayuktas are sometimes protracted, resulting in extended delays in the resolution of complaints. Investigations often require months or even years to conclude due to bureaucratic obstacles, insufficient personnel, and sluggish collaboration from other governmental entities. This delay frustrates complainants and permits accused officials to retain their posts, so compromising the credibility of the investigations.
9. **Lack of Public Awareness:** A significant number of folks are either oblivious to the existence of the Lokpal and Lokayuktas or lack comprehension regarding how to utilize them. Lack of awareness and knowledge about its existence is notably deficient in rural regions and among underprivileged populations, where administrative corruption frequently prevails. This deficiency in awareness constrains the institution's capacity to function as an efficient grievance redressal system for the general populace. There are less number of programs or seminars and conferences on awareness of the institution of Lokpal and Lokayuktas.
10. **Complicated Complaint Procedures:** The procedure for submitting complaints to the Lokpal or Lokayuktas is frequently bureaucratic and intricate. It necessitates extensive documentation and adheres to a protracted procedural pathway that deters many from seeking the Ombudsman's assistance. Moreover, digital access for grievances is constrained, thus diminishing accessibility for individuals in remote regions or lacking technological resources.
11. **Variability among Lokayuktas:** The efficacy of Lokayuktas differs significantly throughout states, resulting in an uneven influence on governance and accountability. In states like as Karnataka, the Lokayukta has been vigilant in combating corruption and ensuring accountability among public officials. In numerous other states, Lokayuktas are either ineffectual, under-resourced, or devoid of authority. Certain states, including Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal, have either failed to establish Lokayuktas or had inadequately resourced Lokayuktas that rarely engage in high-profile corruption cases. The Lokayuktas of the different states are facing shortage of manpower and infrastructure to address the long pending complaints or issues. Some

state suffers the vacant positions of the Lokayuktas and Uplokayuktas due to political reasons.

- 12. Failure to Address Systemic Corruption:** The Ombudsman system in India has predominantly been reactive, focusing on individual grievances instead of proactively combating systemic corruption. The Ombudsman is deemed to seek and identify systemic issues that can lead to widespread rights violations or poor quality of service to public or breaches of public rights by the government or institutions in question. Although Lokayuktas have achieved success in several prominent cases, the overarching issue of diminishing corruption in routine governance persists, particularly in places where Lokayuktas are ineffectual.⁸

Conclusion

In light of the fact that the majority of the ombudsman's authority is comprised of recommendations, there is a reasonable concern regarding the ombudsman's inability to be enforced. The annual report, which is often the only public document that many ombudsmen make available to the public, is frequently considered to be an insufficient instrument for influencing administrative procedures and practices, informing the mass media, and educating the general public. As an additional point of interest, the ombudsman normally does not have the authority to change or reverse findings. Rather than focusing simply on administrative procedures, there are others who argue that the authority of the Ombudsman should be expanded so that it can be used to bring about changes in legislation and policy. The ombudsman ought to concentrate not only on the laws or regulations that are currently in place, but also on the possible next interpretations of those laws or rules.

⁸ Mohapatra, A. (2013). Lokpal and the Role of Media in Propping Up AntiCorruption Movement in India. *International Journal of Social Science & Interdisciplinary Research IJSSIR*, 2(3): 42-53.